

**Race and Wealth Inequities in Cook County’s Property Tax Relief Programs:  
The Senior Citizen Assessment Freeze &  
Long-time Occupant Homestead Exemptions**

**2026 Addendum Concerning Senate Bill 1750**

**Introduction**

In 2024, property tax exemptions in Cook County were estimated to have saved homeowners \$1.6 billion in property taxes.<sup>1</sup> With over 1.8 million unique property parcels in Cook County and nine (9) separate exemption programs available to homeowners, there is a significant challenge in administering these exemptions. Two of these property tax exemptions, the Low-Income Senior Citizen Assessment Freeze Exemption (SFE) “Senior Freeze” (35 ILCS 200/15-172) and the Long-Time Homeowner Exemption (LOHE) “Long-time” (35 ILCS 200/15-177), are income based and can yield significant property tax savings over time.

The Senior Freeze exemption allows Senior Citizen homeowners with total annual household incomes of less than \$65,000 to “freeze” their taxes at the time of the initial application, with mandatory application to renew each year. The Long-time Exemption, intended to prevent the displacement of long-time residents of redeveloped communities, is an “invite-only” program where the Assessor determines taxpayer eligibility and then provides those who qualify with the necessary documents to apply for the exemption each year. The Cook County Assessor’s Office is responsible for approving properties for either exemption.

Due to the higher potential for savings, both exemption programs are at risk of fraud. When taxpayers are granted exemptions that they do not qualify for, the tax burden is shifted to other properties and results in homeowners paying more than their fair share. It is critical that the distribution of property taxes be fair and equitable between homeowners. The Training, Research, Advocacy, & Education Network (TRAEN, Inc.) has regularly conducted audits of the properties receiving the SFE or the LOHE since 2021 to inform the public of the administration of both of these exemptions by the Cook County Assessor’s Office.

**Background**

Both the Senior Freeze and Long-time Exemptions have the potential to grant significant tax savings to property owners. Both programs are also at risk of fraud. Oversights by either applicants or the Cook County Assessor’s Office may result in improper approval, especially

---

<sup>1</sup> Merriman & Weber, as reported in the Chicago Sun-Times.

where the income threshold is concerned. Because the Senior Freeze is based on household income and not applicant income, fluctuations in senior incomes or changes in household composition may inadvertently result in an erroneous exemption. The Freeze (and other exemptions) are supposed to be removed upon the passing of the original applicant, and the Assessor may fail to detect a transfer of ownership due to sale or a deed to a non-qualifying relative. The Long-time exemption has a lower risk due to the Assessor's direct approval and because less than 11,000 properties receive it annually,<sup>2</sup> but internal checks can fail.

Senate Bill 1750 (SB 1750) was introduced to the 104<sup>th</sup> session of the Illinois General Assembly for 2025-2026 with the purpose of amending how the Senior Freeze is administered in Cook County.<sup>3</sup> The proposed changes to the Illinois property tax code would empower the Cook County Assessor to request the individual Social Security Numbers and/or taxpayer identification numbers for all members of the applicant's household in the application process, while also giving the Assessor the discretion to automatically approve the renewal of the Senior Freeze without a new application to verify household income. The Assessor's Office has been highly supportive of the bill and has testified in its favor at hearings.<sup>4</sup> At the time of writing this article, SB 1750 has not been passed by the Illinois legislature.

TRAEN has access to a subscription service from the Cook County Assessor's Office which provides access to both assessment and exemption datasets for each parcel in the County. Over a three-day period, TRAEN reviewed the distribution of the Senior Freeze and Long-time exemptions across the county, examined the highest tax reductions granted by either exemption, and looked for high-value properties receiving exemptions intended for low-income seniors and property owners at risk of property tax-induced displacement in the years 2022, 2023, and 2024.

## **Problems**

The Senior Citizen Freeze was broadly distributed across Cook County for Tax Years 2022 and 2023, with concentrations in the City of Chicago (Lake, Jefferson, Hyde Park, West Chicago Townships) and a largely even spread across the suburbs with some concentration in the south suburban Worth and Thornton Townships. Chicago's south and west sides, along with the adjacent suburban communities, tend to be less affluent and have significant Black and Brown communities.

TRAEN's investigation has found that some of the highest savers from the Senior Freeze are not in these less affluent communities. Property owners in Chicago's wealthy north suburbs

---

<sup>2</sup> As reported by the Cook County Assessor's Office.

<sup>3</sup> The amendment specifically empowers the "Chief County Assessment Officer in a county with 3,000,000 or more inhabitants," which only applies to Cook County. Identical exceptions exist throughout Illinois statutes.

<sup>4</sup> Witness slips show James Mendez of the Assessor's Office as a proponent at multiple hearings.

and north lakefront are the recipients of tax savings as high as \$67,758, which mean that property owners with mansions are saving more in taxes than the current income threshold for the Senior Freeze.

There has been a significant decline in the number of properties receiving the Long-time exemption. The Assessor's Office reports that fewer than 11,000 properties receive it annually, however, as of 2022 and 2023 there are fewer than 1,000 qualifying properties. Properties receiving the Long-time Exemption for Tax Years 2022 and 2023 were overwhelmingly concentrated in the West Chicago Township area, which has seen significant redevelopment west of Chicago's central business district in recent years. Average savings were high in West Chicago Township but also in North Chicago (downtown) and Oak Park (suburb adjacent to West Chicago) Townships. All but 1 of the properties receiving the Long-time Exemption were in the City of Chicago for Tax Year 2023, a significant change from Tax Year 2022 when 3% of all recipients (22 properties) were outside of the city.

TRAEN intended to analyze all three years identified above. However, changes to the Assessor's data output for Tax Year 2024 have resulted in data with obvious errors. Properties receiving the Senior Freeze or Long-time Exemptions are mislabeled and the assessment reductions granted for each may not appear on the 2024 tax bill. Furthermore, properties that may have received either reduction in the previous year but no longer qualify are still identified as recipients of the exemption. PINs no longer in existence as of 2024 were in the exemptions database and still identified as having their former exemption(s).

### **Solutions**

Based on its findings, TRAEN does not recommend the automation of the Senior Freeze or extending automation to the Long-time Exemption. The discretion offered by the SB 1750, which is supported by the Cook County Assessor's Office, reduces oversight instead of strengthening it. Even with the Assessor's increased access to taxpayer information, the absence of regular audits risks increases, not decreases, the risk of fraud or oversight.

The fact that properties are saving more than the income threshold is a sign that in-depth auditing by the Assessor's Office of high-saving, outlier properties in the more affluent areas of Chicago and its outlying suburbs is needed. Furthermore, the data management of the 2024 exemptions has serious issues and a review to ensure that the data integrity is intact should be undertaken immediately. Erroneous data that does not accurately capture the exemption situation puts the county at greater risk of fraud or oversight.

### **About the Author**

Arthur Siegle, BS Political Science, BA History, is a policy researcher with over 5 years of experience in Cook County commercial & residential property tax analysis. His work focuses on issues of data management, over- and under-assessment, and transparency.

## Senior Freeze Exemption 2023 Township Data

Sort Order: Highest to Lowest Largest Estimated Senior Freeze Savings

Township	Tri	# of Parcels	Parcel Share	Overall Frz AV Removed	Average Frz AV Removed	Largest Frz AV Removed	Overall Frz Est. Savings	Average Frz Est. Savings	Largest Frz Est. Savings
Thornton	3	4,747	5%	83,672,951	17,626	595,638	\$15,245,601	\$3,212	<b>\$84,622</b>
New Trier	2	474	0%	24,803,843	52,329	881,574	\$1,887,598	\$3,982	<b>\$67,758</b>
Evanston	2	789	1%	31,195,551	39,538	493,016	\$2,518,891	\$3,193	<b>\$39,924</b>
Lake View	1	2,213	2%	116,786,202	52,773	566,177	\$8,180,937	\$3,697	<b>\$39,604</b>
West Chicago	1	5,184	5%	230,109,422	44,388	454,344	\$16,124,114	\$3,110	<b>\$31,781</b>
North Chicago	1	626	1%	22,520,774	35,976	395,545	\$1,575,617	\$2,517	<b>\$27,668</b>
Barrington	2	163	0%	6,825,901	41,877	274,716	\$526,139	\$3,228	<b>\$21,812</b>
Elk Grove	2	2,281	2%	70,849,191	31,061	219,419	\$6,191,991	\$2,715	<b>\$20,263</b>
Oak Park	3	591	1%	22,421,995	37,939	187,230	\$2,423,369	\$4,100	<b>\$20,236</b>
Bloom	3	2,095	2%	39,160,627	18,692	145,853	\$5,537,305	\$2,643	<b>\$20,222</b>
Niles	2	3,356	3%	119,779,887	35,691	227,695	\$10,702,883	\$3,189	<b>\$20,185</b>
Jefferson	1	10,947	11%	384,154,154	35,092	278,192	\$26,918,119	\$2,459	<b>\$19,529</b>
River Forest	3	126	0%	5,545,127	44,009	204,111	\$503,276	\$3,994	<b>\$18,525</b>
Northfield	2	1,419	1%	54,979,629	38,745	275,509	\$4,101,438	\$2,890	<b>\$18,410</b>
Lyons	3	2,478	3%	84,922,607	34,271	242,248	\$7,864,802	\$3,174	<b>\$17,851</b>
Hyde Park	1	5,334	5%	64,701,396	12,130	253,481	\$4,535,107	\$850	<b>\$17,731</b>
South Chicago	1	1,885	2%	66,467,342	35,261	230,027	\$4,653,725	\$2,469	<b>\$16,090</b>
Palatine	2	1,906	2%	46,875,026	24,593	150,651	\$4,648,870	\$2,439	<b>\$15,769</b>
Orland	3	2,901	3%	99,457,132	34,284	170,968	\$8,651,264	\$2,982	<b>\$15,365</b>
Rogers Park	1	961	1%	24,972,283	25,986	214,485	\$1,747,420	\$1,818	<b>\$15,003</b>
Wheeling	2	3,652	4%	97,415,020	26,674	158,450	\$9,714,102	\$2,660	<b>\$14,381</b>
Maine	2	4,110	4%	136,712,644	33,263	152,475	\$11,996,487	\$2,919	<b>\$13,024</b>
Palos	3	1,799	2%	61,075,315	33,950	149,505	\$5,558,077	\$3,090	<b>\$12,653</b>
Worth	3	4,867	5%	127,763,506	26,251	130,580	\$13,496,023	\$2,773	<b>\$12,580</b>
Proviso	3	4,214	4%	139,114,899	33,013	124,483	\$16,011,497	\$3,800	<b>\$12,396</b>
Rich	3	1,916	2%	50,676,266	26,449	81,418	\$8,102,806	\$4,229	<b>\$12,202</b>
Leyden	2	2,647	3%	81,902,545	30,942	117,908	\$8,413,182	\$3,178	<b>\$11,830</b>
Schaumburg	2	3,002	3%	83,449,443	27,798	120,310	\$8,024,323	\$2,673	<b>\$11,626</b>
Riverside	3	364	0%	14,262,212	39,182	110,578	\$1,412,884	\$3,882	<b>\$11,595</b>
Bremen	3	3,017	3%	74,390,344	24,657	96,496	\$9,479,780	\$3,142	<b>\$10,882</b>
Norwood Park	2	1,128	1%	44,325,554	39,296	141,822	\$3,547,942	\$3,145	<b>\$10,835</b>
Berwyn	3	1,157	1%	42,394,720	36,642	92,002	\$4,800,873	\$4,149	<b>\$9,944</b>
Stickney	3	1,395	1%	43,119,882	30,910	83,217	\$4,419,418	\$3,168	<b>\$9,320</b>
Cicero	3	1,327	1%	47,009,818	35,426	83,000	\$5,272,621	\$3,973	<b>\$9,309</b>
Hanover	2	1,951	2%	49,078,272	25,155	78,778	\$4,928,937	\$2,526	<b>\$8,418</b>
Lake	1	11,206	11%	166,457,409	14,854	119,233	\$11,689,952	\$1,043	<b>\$8,361</b>
Lemont	3	351	0%	16,859,833	48,034	114,980	\$1,142,835	\$3,256	<b>\$7,574</b>
Calumet	3	477	0%	8,445,636	17,706	53,314	\$1,287,699	\$2,700	<b>\$6,977</b>
<b>Cook County Total</b>		<b>99,056</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>222,041,660</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>881,574</b>	<b>\$222,041,660</b>	<b>\$2,021</b>	<b>\$84,622</b>

Tax estimates use 2023 actual tax rates for each individual property.

## Senior Freeze Exemption 2022 Township Data

**Sort Order:** Highest to Lowest Largest Estimated Senior Freeze Savings

Township	Tri	# of Parcels	Parcel Share	Overall Frz AV Removed	Average Frz AV Removed	Largest Frz AV Removed	Overall Frz Est. Savings	Average Frz Est. Savings	Largest Frz Est. Savings
Wheeling	2	4,045	4%	106,185,057	26,251	1,464,913	\$10,435,009	\$2,580	<b>\$132,106</b>
Thornton	3	5,195	5%	27,662,778	5,325	540,648	\$6,702,383	\$1,290	<b>\$99,204</b>
New Trier	2	541	0%	28,607,495	52,879	815,512	\$2,126,164	\$3,930	<b>\$60,878</b>
Lake View	1	2,440	2%	124,676,140	51,097	543,675	\$8,784,662	\$3,600	<b>\$38,248</b>
Evanston	2	850	1%	33,387,627	39,280	470,329	\$2,667,346	\$3,138	<b>\$37,687</b>
North Chicago	1	772	1%	25,485,829	33,013	439,587	\$1,793,436	\$2,323	<b>\$30,925</b>
West Chicago	1	5,824	5%	236,808,504	40,661	438,880	\$16,684,964	\$2,865	<b>\$30,875</b>
Rich	3	2,122	2%	13,733,163	6,472	60,638	\$2,987,264	\$1,408	<b>\$27,889</b>
Barrington	2	177	0%	6,617,842	37,389	259,066	\$513,880	\$2,903	<b>\$20,718</b>
Hyde Park	1	6,203	6%	70,252,092	11,326	280,770	\$4,951,457	\$798	<b>\$19,752</b>
Elk Grove	2	2,410	2%	74,805,845	31,040	211,271	\$6,469,508	\$2,684	<b>\$19,355</b>
Oak Park	3	650	1%	13,663,976	21,022	141,220	\$1,794,627	\$2,761	<b>\$18,548</b>
Bloom	3	2,444	2%	13,129,831	5,372	76,464	\$2,499,175	\$1,023	<b>\$17,634</b>
Jefferson	1	11,824	11%	395,853,272	33,479	246,612	\$27,891,490	\$2,359	<b>\$17,408</b>
Northfield	2	1,512	1%	59,222,235	39,168	209,803	\$4,423,893	\$2,926	<b>\$16,499</b>
South Chicago	1	2,072	2%	71,986,508	34,743	220,767	\$5,067,688	\$2,446	<b>\$15,531</b>
Niles	2	3,511	3%	122,736,748	34,958	210,216	\$10,980,923	\$3,128	<b>\$15,409</b>
Bremen	3	3,355	3%	25,830,958	7,699	58,027	\$4,390,012	\$1,308	<b>\$15,249</b>
Palatine	2	2,197	2%	53,508,523	24,355	145,558	\$5,287,512	\$2,407	<b>\$15,134</b>
Proviso	3	4,717	4%	78,571,332	16,657	145,136	\$11,412,280	\$2,419	<b>\$14,563</b>
Rogers Park	1	1,005	1%	26,061,857	25,932	204,021	\$1,834,067	\$1,825	<b>\$14,353</b>
Worth	3	5,495	5%	56,422,141	10,268	113,577	\$7,446,138	\$1,355	<b>\$14,028</b>
River Forest	3	145	0%	3,046,061	21,007	126,892	\$336,437	\$2,320	<b>\$14,015</b>
Maine	2	4,580	4%	148,545,963	32,434	174,451	\$12,891,839	\$2,815	<b>\$12,909</b>
Orland	3	3,276	3%	36,766,286	11,223	137,383	\$4,038,592	\$1,233	<b>\$12,818</b>
Lyons	3	2,707	2%	40,472,353	14,951	133,087	\$4,499,240	\$1,662	<b>\$12,717</b>
Leyden	2	2,923	3%	88,426,001	30,252	128,360	\$9,285,606	\$3,177	<b>\$11,675</b>
Berwyn	3	1,295	1%	22,979,780	17,745	74,723	\$3,433,258	\$2,651	<b>\$11,613</b>
Schaumburg	2	3,124	3%	86,317,493	27,630	114,767	\$8,301,524	\$2,657	<b>\$11,059</b>
Stickney	3	1,567	1%	20,134,302	12,849	79,491	\$2,612,899	\$1,667	<b>\$10,485</b>
Norwood Park	2	1,197	1%	47,208,325	39,439	136,358	\$3,738,129	\$3,123	<b>\$10,480</b>
Cicero	3	1,466	1%	23,670,622	16,146	65,533	\$3,535,918	\$2,412	<b>\$9,789</b>
Palos	3	1,992	2%	22,952,572	11,522	82,345	\$2,694,581	\$1,353	<b>\$9,561</b>
Calumet	3	560	1%	2,343,704	4,185	53,434	\$453,769	\$810	<b>\$9,117</b>
Riverside	3	415	0%	7,750,477	18,676	65,836	\$949,973	\$2,289	<b>\$8,625</b>
Hanover	2	1,996	2%	50,215,150	25,158	75,722	\$5,066,690	\$2,538	<b>\$8,073</b>
Lake	1	12,827	12%	176,048,850	13,725	90,730	\$12,438,501	\$970	<b>\$6,383</b>
Lemont	3	463	0%	7,630,894	16,481	78,277	\$620,826	\$1,341	<b>\$6,031</b>
<b>Cook County Total</b>		<b>109,894</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>222,041,660</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>1,464,913</b>	<b>\$222,041,660</b>	<b>\$2,021</b>	<b>\$132,106</b>

Tax estimates use 2022 actual tax rates for each individual property.

## Longtime Exemption 2022 Township Data

**Sort Order:** Highest to Lowest Largest Estimated Senior Freeze Savings

Township	Tri	# of Parcels	Parcel Share	Overall Frz AV Removed	Average Frz AV Removed	Largest Frz AV Removed	Overall Frz Est. Savings	Average Frz Est. Savings	Largest Frz Est. Savings
West Chicago	1	510	61%	25,232,314	49,475	394,364	\$1,777,645	\$3,486	<b>\$27,744</b>
Jefferson	1	101	12%	3,134,537	31,035	142,927	\$221,189	\$2,190	<b>\$10,095</b>
South Chicago	1	71	8%	2,187,096	30,804	156,923	\$153,875	\$2,167	<b>\$11,040</b>
Hyde Park	1	69	8%	2,129,137	30,857	84,152	\$149,928	\$2,173	<b>\$5,920</b>
Lake View	1	40	5%	1,373,915	34,348	150,041	\$96,981	\$2,425	<b>\$10,555</b>
Lake	1	15	2%	316,865	21,124	48,585	\$22,331	\$1,489	<b>\$3,428</b>
Rogers Park	1	8	1%	116,974	14,622	24,536	\$8,229	\$1,029	<b>\$1,726</b>
North Chicago	1	4	0%	160,412	40,103	81,487	\$11,285	\$2,821	<b>\$5,733</b>
Oak Park	3	4	0%	182,451	45,613	58,609	\$23,963	\$5,991	<b>\$7,698</b>
Leyden	2	4	0%	93,877	23,469	38,496	\$10,043	\$2,511	<b>\$4,174</b>
Evanston	2	3	0%	195,428	65,143	142,446	\$15,550	\$5,183	<b>\$11,317</b>
Bremen	3	3	0%	37,703	12,568	17,231	\$5,571	\$1,857	<b>\$2,286</b>
Rich	3	1	0%	22,248	22,248	22,248	\$4,287	\$4,287	<b>\$4,287</b>
Wheeling	2	1	0%	45,352	45,352	45,352	\$4,090	\$4,090	<b>\$4,090</b>
Orland	3	1	0%	31,525	31,525	31,525	\$3,844	\$3,844	<b>\$3,844</b>
Hanover	2	1	0%	40,121	40,121	40,121	\$3,839	\$3,839	<b>\$3,839</b>
Niles	2	1	0%	44,618	44,618	44,618	\$3,711	\$3,711	<b>\$3,711</b>
Worth	3	1	0%	27,456	27,456	27,456	\$3,443	\$3,443	<b>\$3,443</b>
Bloom	3	1	0%	22,868	22,868	22,868	\$3,279	\$3,279	<b>\$3,279</b>
Palos	3	1	0%	11,032	11,032	11,032	\$1,377	\$1,377	<b>\$1,377</b>
Thornton	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
New Trier	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barrington	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Elk Grove	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Northfield	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Palatine	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Proviso	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
River Forest	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maine	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lyons	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Berwyn	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Schaumburg	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Stickney	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Norwood Park	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cicero	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Calumet	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Riverside	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lemont	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Cook County Total</b>		<b>840</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>222,041,660</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>394,364</b>	<b>\$222,041,660</b>	<b>\$2,021</b>	<b>\$27,744</b>

Tax estimates use 2022 actual tax rates for each individual property.

## Longtime Exemption 2023 Township Data

**Sort Order:** Highest to Lowest Largest Estimated Senior Freeze Savings

Township	Tri	# of Parcels	Parcel Share	Overall Frz AV Removed	Average Frz AV Removed	Largest Frz AV Removed	Overall Frz Est. Savings	Average Frz Est. Savings	Largest Frz Est. Savings
West Chicago	1	374	64%	18,647,042	49,858	270,307	\$1,306,548	\$3,493	<b>\$18,973</b>
Jefferson	1	62	11%	2,352,895	37,950	140,157	\$165,094	\$2,663	<b>\$9,845</b>
South Chicago	1	30	5%	1,172,815	39,094	120,644	\$82,123	\$2,737	<b>\$8,439</b>
Lake View	1	26	4%	1,048,638	40,332	102,069	\$73,908	\$2,843	<b>\$7,386</b>
North Chicago	1	9	2%	549,420	61,047	87,043	\$38,479	\$4,275	<b>\$6,089</b>
Hyde Park	1	59	10%	1,867,345	31,650	86,120	\$130,955	\$2,220	<b>\$6,044</b>
Oak Park	3	1	0%	39,357	39,357	39,357	\$4,254	\$4,254	<b>\$4,254</b>
Lake	1	15	3%	357,406	23,827	50,081	\$25,031	\$1,669	<b>\$3,512</b>
Rogers Park	1	6	1%	99,866	16,644	25,010	\$6,986	\$1,164	<b>\$1,749</b>
Evanston	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Rich	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Leyden	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wheeling	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Orland	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hanover	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Niles	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Worth	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Bloom	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Bremen	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Palos	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Thornton	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
New Trier	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barrington	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Elk Grove	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Northfield	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Palatine	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Proviso	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
River Forest	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Maine	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lyons	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Berwyn	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Schaumburg	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Stickney	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Norwood Park	2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cicero	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Calumet	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Riverside	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lemont	3	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Cook County Total</b>		<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>222,041,660</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>270,307</b>	<b>\$222,041,660</b>	<b>\$2,021</b>	<b>\$18,973</b>

Tax estimates use 2023 actual tax rates for each individual property.